Between 2014 – 2015, Blue Shield of California Foundation surveyed its 126 domestic violence grantees to get a snapshot of how these organizations are impacting the field. Below is a summary of our findings.

Population Diversity
Percentage of Grantees Serving Diverse Population Groups
- Batterers/Perpetrators: 17%
- Refugees: 57%
- Veterans: 63%
- Drug/Alcohol Dependency: 78%
- LGBTQ: 87%
- People with Disabilities: 90%
- Immigrants: 95%
- Limited English Proficiency: 96%

Regional Overview
- North: 19
- Sacramento Valley: 7
- SF Bay Area: 29
- Central: 25
- Los Angeles: 23
- South: 20
- Statewide: 3

126 Domestic Violence Service Providers

Capacity Trends
Funding Streams 2012-2014 2014-2015
- Better: 23% 23%
- Same: 58% 60%
- Worse: 19% 17%

- Better: 34% 32%
- Same: 57% 63%
- Worse: 9% 6%

- Added more staff: 32% 33%
- Maintained staffing: 49% 49%
- Reduced staff: 19% 17%

- Operating expenses: 40% 40%
- Maintain adequate program staffing: 32% 22%
- Maintain adequate admin/ops. staffing: 11% 13%
- Expanding existing programs: 3% 9%

- Communication/marketing: 14% 15%
- Strategic planning: 9% 13%
- Board development: 16% 11%
- Maximize/leverage/manage resources: 17% 11%
- Evaluation and data management: 10% 11%

- Better: 34% 32%
- Same: 57% 63%
- Worse: 9% 6%

- Added more staff: 32% 33%
- Maintained staffing: 49% 49%
- Reduced staff: 19% 17%

- > 90 days: 35% 37%
- 61 – 90 days: 25% 19%
- 31 – 60 days: 21% 26%
- < 30 days: 17% 17%
- Don’t Know: 2% 2%

- Communication/marketing: 14% 15%
- Strategic planning: 9% 13%
- Board development: 16% 11%
- Maximize/leverage/manage resources: 17% 11%
- Evaluation and data management: 10% 11%

Culturally Responsive (CR) Development
The Top Five Priorities/Needs:
1. Ongoing staff training
2. Building trust with specific communities
3. Hiring staff
4. Partnering with culturally-specific agencies
5. Communicating a vision for CR practices

Organizations Capturing Survivor/Client Feedback
- Capturing Feedback to Inform Program Improvements: 95%
- Not Capturing Feedback: 5%

Partnerships and Sharing Practices
Why Partner?
- Increase funding: 52%
- Focus on prevention: 66%
- Reach new populations: 66%
- Better serve existing population: 83%

Focus of Partnerships
- LG BTQ: 29%
- Men: 30%
- Racial/Cultural Group: 42%
- Youth/Teens: 50%

Partner Types
- Faith-Based: 31%
- Culturally Specific: 40%
- Health Providers: 43%
- Schools/Education: 47%